UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,195	04/30/2007	Euan Smith	29610/CDT337	8447
	7590 08/08/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BOWMAN, MARY ELLEN	
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/587,195	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARY ELLEN BOWMAN	4174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐  Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange of the second of the correction and papers.	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 25 July 2006, 27 October 2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2885

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 25 July 2006 and 27 October 2006 were considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims **1**, **2**, **6**, **10**, **and 12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bechtel, et al., WO 2004/004421 A2, published 08 January 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "Bechtel").
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Bechtel teaches an organic light emitting diode (OLED) (e.g., p. 1, lines 6-7; "organic light-emitting diodes") comprising a substrate bearing a light emitting layer between an electrically conducting anode and an electrically conducting cathode (e.g., p. 1, lines 9-13; "a typical structure comprises...the transparent electrode (anode), a conductive polymer layer, and electroluminescent layer, i.e. a layer of light-emissive material...and an electrode of metal...(cathode). Such a structure is usually provided on a substrate"), the diode being configured for light emission through said

Application/Control Number: 10/587,195

Page 3

Art Unit: 2885

cathode (e.g., p. 1, lines 23-24; "light leaves the electroluminescent device through a transparent cathode"), the cathode being transmissive at a light emission wavelength of the diode (e.g., p. 2, lines 2-3; "cathode exhibiting a high transmission of the light emitted by the electroluminescent layer"), and wherein said cathode incorporates an optical interference structure configured to enhance light transmission through said cathode at said emission wavelength (e.g., p. 2, lines 15-17; "dielectric layer bordering on the second electrode (i.e., the cathode) has a high refractive index n...and more light passes through the second electrode").

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Bechtel teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 1, and further teaches said cathode comprises an optical interference layer lying between first and third layers of different refractive indices such that reflections from front and back surfaces of said optical interference layer interfere to enhance light transmission through said cathode at said emission wavelength (e.g., p. 2, lines 11-14; "a second electrode (i.e. a cathode) and 2n+1 transparent dielectric layers (i.e., 3 of them), where n=0, 1, 2, 3...α, the transparent dielectric layers alternately have a high refractive index...and a low refractive index").
- 7. Regarding claim 6, Bechtel teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 1, and further teaches said emission wavelength is substantially equal to a peak or center emission wavelength of said light emitting layer (e.g., p. 6, lines 1-3; "the transmission curve is adapted to the emission spectrum of the light generated, such that the transmission maximum (i.e., the peak) of the electrode lies in the range of the emission maximum of PPV").

Art Unit: 2885

8. Regarding claim 10, Bechtel teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 2, and further teaches said optical interference layer comprises a dielectric material (e.g., p. 7, lines 12-13; "three transparent, dielectric layers 5 (i.e., optical interference layers) were deposited on the second electrode 4 (i.e., the cathode)").

9. Regarding claim 12, Bechtel teaches a display device (e.g., p. 1, lines 4-7; "electronically driven display systems...[with] OLEDs, as the light source") including the invention as explained above regarding claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims **3**, **7-9**, **11**, **15**, **and 16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtel in view of Aziz et al., USP App. Pub. No. 2003/0234609 A1, published 25 December 2003 (hereinafter referred to as "Aziz").
- 12. Bechtel teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 2, but fails to teach the particular details of the first through third layers of the cathode.
- 13. Regarding claim 3, Aziz teaches said first layer comprises an electron injecting layer for injecting electrons into said light emitting layer (e.g., [0225]; "capping region (2056) (i.e., third layer)/MOML2 (2054B)/MOML1 (2054A) (i.e., optical interference layer)/charge injection region (2052) (i.e., electron injection region)"), and wherein said third layer comprises an electrically conducting layer (e.g., [0211]; "a capping region can

Art Unit: 2885

be composed of...metal compounds such as...ITO, ZnO (i.e., an electrically conducting layer)").

- 14. Regarding claim 7, Aziz teaches said third layer comprises a metal layer (e.g., [0211]; "a capping region can be composed of...metal compounds such as...ITO, ZnO (i.e., an electrically conducting layer)").
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Aziz teaches said optical interference layer comprises a wide bandgap semiconductor (e.g., [0242]; "the inorganic metal compounds for the MOML (i.e., the optical interference layer) may be a ...metal nitride...the metal nitride can be, but is not limited to...GaN (i.e., a wide bandgap semiconductor)").
- 16. Regarding claim 9, Aziz teaches said optical interference layer comprises a transparent conductor (e.g., [0242]; "the inorganic metal compounds for the MOML (i.e., the optical interference layer) may be a...metal oxide...the metal oxides can be, but are not limited to...ZnO, ITO (i.e., a transparent conductor)").
- 17. Regarding claim 11, Aziz teaches said electron injecting layer includes a layer of a metal (e.g., [0149]; "the metal-organic mixed layer in embodiments can function as the electron injection contact").
- 18. Regarding claim 15, Aziz teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 8, and further teaches said wide bandgap semiconductor comprises zinc selenide or gallium nitride (e.g., [0242]; "the inorganic metal compounds for the MOML (i.e., the optical interference layer) may be a ...metal nitride...the metal nitride can be, but is not limited to...GaN (i.e., a wide bandgap semiconductor)").

Application/Control Number: 10/587,195

Art Unit: 2885

19. Regarding claim 16, Aziz teaches the invention as explained above regarding clam 9, and further teaches said transparent conductor comprises indium tin oxide or indium zinc oxide (e.g., [0242]; "the inorganic metal compounds for the MOML (i.e., the optical interference layer) may be a...metal oxide...the metal oxides can be, but are not limited to...ZnO, ITO (i.e., a transparent conductor)").

Page 6

- 20. Regarding claims 3, 7-9, 11, 15, and 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize three layers including an electron injecting layer, an optical interference layer, and a cathode layer as taught by Aziz, in an OLED device as taught by Bechtel, because the three layer design provides the benefit of reducing light reflection and thereby improving picture quality (Aziz, [0006]).
- 21. Claims **4 and 5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtel in view of Hofstra et al., USP App. Pub. No. 2003/0127971 A1, published 10 July 2003 (hereinafter referred to as "Hofstra").
- 22. Bechtel teaches the invention as explained above regarding claim 2, but fails to teach the thickness of the optical interference layer.
- 23. Regarding claim 4, Hofstra teaches said optical interference layer has an optical thickness of between a third of said emission wavelength and a fifth of said emission wavelength (e.g., [0065]; "optical interference member 16'...having a thickness of about seven-hundred-and-forty-five angstroms...so that it behaves as a quarter-wave-stack at a light wavelength of five-hundred-and-fifty nanometers (550 nm)").

Application/Control Number: 10/587,195

Art Unit: 2885

24. Regarding claim 5, Hofstra teaches said optical interference layer has an optical thickness of substantially a quarter of said emission wavelength (e.g., [0065]; "optical interference member 16'...having a thickness of about seven-hundred-and-forty-five angstroms...so that it behaves as a quarter-wave-stack at a light wavelength of five-hundred-and-fifty nanometers (550 nm)").

Page 7

- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create an optical interference layer having a thickness of a quarter wavelength of the emitted light from the display to create interference resulting in increased light emission from the display and decreased ambient light reflection, which improves display quality (Hofstra, abstract).
- 26. Claims **13 and 14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtel in view of Aziz and further in view of Hofstra.
- 27. Regarding claim 13, Bechtel teaches an organic light emitting diode (OLED)-based display device including one or more OLEDs (e.g., p. 1, lines 4-7; "electronically driven display systems...organic light-emitting diodes, so-termed OLEDs, as the light source") each comprising a layer of OLED material sandwiched between anode and cathode electrode layers (e.g., p. 1, lines 9-13; "a typical structure comprises...[an] (anode), a conductive polymer layer, an electroluminescent layer, i.e., a layer of a light-emissive material...[and a] (cathode)"), said OLED material electroluminescing when a current is passed between said anode and cathode electrode layers (e.g., pp. 4-5, lines 33-34 and 1; "by applying a suitable voltage...to the electrodes...positive and negative charge carriers are injected...where they recombine, thereby generating light"), a first of

Art Unit: 2885

said electrode layers being at least partially transmissive at a peak wavelength of said electroluminescence and being closer to a display surface of said device than the second of said electrode layers whereby the device is configured for electroluminescent display through said first electrode layer (e.g., p. 1, lines 23-24; "light leaves the electroluminescent device through a transparent cathode"; Note: The side through which light leaves is the "display side", and therefore the cathode is closest to the display side. Further, the light leaving the through the cathode is the light (including the peak wavelength of light) produced by the electroluminescent layer.).

- 28. Bechtel fails to teach a spacer between the OLED material and the electrode.
- 29. Aziz teaches said first electrode layer comprises a spacer layer sandwiched between a coupling layer for connecting to said OLED material and a third, substantially electrically conductive layer (e.g., [0225]; "capping region (2056) (i.e., third, substantially electrically conductive layer)/MOML2 (2054B)/MOML1 (2054A) (i.e., spacer layer)/charge injection region (2052) (i.e., coupling layer)").
- 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize three layers including an electron injecting layer, an optical interference layer, and a cathode layer as taught by Aziz, in an OLED device as taught by Bechtel, because the three layer design provides the benefit of reducing light reflection and thereby improving picture quality (Aziz, [0006]).
- 31. Bechtel and Aziz fail to teach the width of the spacer layer.
- 32. Hofstra teaches said spacer layer has a thickness of approximately an odd integral number of quarter wavelengths at said peak electroluminescence wavelength

Art Unit: 2885

(e.g., [0065]; "optical interference member 16'...having a thickness of about seven-hundred-and-forty-five angstroms...so that it behaves as a quarter-wave-stack at a light wavelength of five-hundred-and-fifty nanometers (550 nm)"; Note: One quarter wavelength is an odd integral number of quarter wavelengths) such that transmission through said first electrode layer at said peak electroluminescence wavelength is substantially maximized (e.g., [0065]; "chosen so that the transmission through [the transparent electrode] is greater than about eighty percent, and preferably at least about ninety percent").

- 33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create an optical interference layer having a thickness of a quarter wavelength of the emitted light from the display to create interference resulting in increased light emission from the display and decreased ambient light reflection, which improves display quality (Hofstra, abstract).
- 34. Regarding claim 14, Bechtel, Aziz, and Hofstra teach the invention as explained above regarding claim 13, and Bechtel further teaches said first electrode layer is said cathode electrode layer (e.g., p. 1, lines 23-24; "light leaves the electroluminescent device through a transparent cathode").

#### Conclusion

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARY ELLEN BOWMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 2885

36. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kimberly D. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2402. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

37. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 4174

/JACOB CHOI/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2885